7 APR 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Secretary of Defense, 6 April 1978

- 1. I gave the Secretary a debriefing on my trip.
- 2. The Secretary raised the question of charters. He had had breakfast with Senator Bayh this morning. I described the letter I was about to sign setting up the working group under Tony Lapham and outlining the principal issues. I said it would be my intent that this group would resolve all differences which could be resolved within the intelligence community and then send to the SCC only those issues which could not be resolved at the working level. I suggested that we would want to go to the SCC possibly on a title-by-title basis in order to be able to negotiate as much as possible with the Senate Select Committee prior to our appearing before it in testimony. I stressed that we wanted to avoid asking the Committee to change its position in public hearings. Secretary Brown and Secretary Duncan both agreed that I should send the letter and that we should proceed with these guidelines.

I also mentioned that I was anxious that we not let our working levels use the charter exercise to try to change the Administration position as enunciated in Executive Order 12036. Secretary Brown said there were some ambiguities in the Executive Order which, if the Senate attempted to clarify, would inevitably lead to some jockeying of this sort. Both he and Secretary Duncan, however, indicated that they agreed we should use the Executive Order as the base line of an Administration position and not deliberately attempt to change it.

3. On the 62 billets for RMS/NITC, Secretary Brown said they couldn't provide the number of supergrades we wanted. He was working with the number of 16. I told him we only wanted five; he said Dan Murphy had indicated they were willing to offer three. I said let's try four.

E2 IMPDET CL BY DCI

For Bologo 2006/11/01 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003200270020-0

4. He wanted to go to OMB and the Congress with the position that 62 DoD spaces would not come from inside the DoD portions of the NFIP. Instead, they would come as a transfer from DoD into the NFIP. In short, there would be an increase in the NFIP end strength and money but a proportionate decrease in the DoD budget. The reason for wanting to do this is that if the Congress trys to take away the 62 positions and not give them to the RMS/NITC, Defense will not lose them since they will not have had to identify them from amongst the glob of several million DoD people. I agreed with this "if it would work."

5. The Secretary raised the expected complaints on the study submitted to the SSCI on SALT verification. I told him I thought clearance problem for his people working on the study was not seribecause they were only	the ious
thought they were also clearances.	ia ne
	25X
	•
b. The Secretary was suggesting that the errors in the study were such that we ought to make an amendment to it. I expressed reservations as to whether you could do that with something that basically was as subjective as this, but suggested that DoD submit to us their specific recommended changes.	
	25X1

6. I raised the issue of whether DIA should provide a list of foreign liaison to the HPSCI. He indicated they didn't have any equity in this but were willing to take the DCI's lead as to what the community policy should be. I therefore think we're going to have to get into the act in between the program managers and the HPSCI in negotiating with the HPSCI on this situation.

STANSFIELD TURNER Director

SECRET

LE-- Pologo 2006/11/01 · CIΔ_RDP80B01554R003200270020-0